<u>REMARKS</u>

[0003] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the

claims of the application. Claims 1-40 are presently pending. Claims amended herein are

1, 8-10, 18, 25, 26, 32, and 33. Claims withdrawn or cancelled herein are none. New

claims added herein are none.

Statement of Substance of Interview

[0004] The Examiner graciously spoke with me—the undersigned representative

for the Applicant—on July 18, 2007. Applicant greatly appreciates the Examiner's

willingness to talk. Such willingness is invaluable to both of us in our common goal of

an expedited prosecution of this patent application.

[0005] During the interview, we discussed how to overcome § 101 rejections and

how the claims differed from the cited art, namely Reynolds, Swix, and Knudson. Without

conceding the propriety of the rejections and in the interest of expediting prosecution, I also

proposed several possible clarifying amendments.

[0006] The Examiner was receptive to the proposals, and I understood the Examiner

to tentatively indicate that the discussed clarifying claim amendments appeared to

distinguish over the cited art of record. For example, the Examiner indicated that

clarification regarding the plurality of content items appeared to distinguish the claims over

the cited art. However, the Examiner indicated that she would need to review the cited art

more carefully and/or do another search.

Serial No.: 10/848,866 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1991US Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee

lee@hayes The Business of IP™

[0007] Applicant herein amends the claims in the manner discussed during the

interview. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable over the

cited art of record for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

Formal Request for an Interview

[0008] If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than

allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the Examiner.

I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative for the Applicant—

so that we can talk about this matter so as to resolve any outstanding issues quickly and

efficiently over the phone.

[0009] Please contact me or my assistant to schedule a date and time for a

telephone interview that is most convenient for both of us. While email works great for

us, I welcome your call to either of us as well. Our contact information may be found on

the last page of this response.

Serial No.: 10/848,866
Atty Docket No.: MSI-1991US
Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas
RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee@

lee@hayes The Business of IP™

Claim Amendments

[0010] Without conceding the propriety of the rejections herein and in the interest of

expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 1, 8-10, 18, 25, 26, 32, and 33 herein.

Applicant amends claims in accordance with our telephone discussion with the examiner.

Such amendments are made to expedite prosecution and quickly identify allowable

subject matter. Such amendments are merely intended to clarify the claimed features, and

should not be construed as further limiting the claimed invention in response to cited

prior art.

Formal Matters

Claims

[0011] The Examiner objects to claim 33 for informality. Herein, Applicant

amends the claim, as shown above, to correct the informality noted by the Examiner.

Substantive Matters

Claim Rejections under § 101

[0012] Claims 1-17, 25 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. In light of the

amendments presented herein, Applicant respectfully submits that these claims comply

with the patentability requirements of $\S~101$ and that the $\S~101$ rejections should be

withdrawn. The Applicant further asserts that these claims are allowable. Accordingly,

Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

Serial No.: 10/848,866 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1991US Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

20

lee@hayes The Business of IP™

www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

[0013] If the Examiner maintains the rejection of these claims, then the Applicant

requests additional guidance as to what is necessary to overcome the rejection.

Claim Rejections under §§ 102 and/or 103

[0014] Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and/or § 103. In light of

the amendments presented herein and the decisions/agreements reached during the above-

discussed Examiner interview, Applicant submits that these rejections are moot.

Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

Dependent Claims

[0015] In addition to its own merits, each dependent claim is allowable for the

same reasons that its base claim is allowable. Applicant requests that the Examiner

withdraw the rejection of each dependent claim where its base claim is allowable.

Conclusion

[0016] All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully

requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain

that prevent issuance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact me before

issuing a subsequent Action. Please call/email me or my assistant at your convenience.

21

Serial No.: 10/848,866 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1991US Atty/Agent: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee&hayes The Business of IP™
www.kathuyos.com 509.324.9256

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 07/31/2007

Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas Reg. No. 58213 (509) 324-9256 x259 bea@leehayes.com www.leehayes.com

My Assistant: Carly Bokarica (509) 324-9256 x264 carly@leehayes.com